

MODERATE DEVIATIONS FOR THE LANGEVIN EQUATION WITH STRONG DAMPING

LINGYAN CHENG, RUINAN LI, AND WEI LIU

Abstract: In this paper, we establish a moderate deviations principle for the Langevin dynamics with damping. The weak convergence approach plays an important role in the proof.

Keyword: Stochastic Langevin Equation; Large deviations; Moderate deviations.

MSC: 60H10, 60F10.

1. INTRODUCTION

For every $\varepsilon > 0$, consider the following Langevin equation with strong damping

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{q}^\varepsilon(t) = b(q^\varepsilon(t)) - \frac{\alpha(q^\varepsilon(t))}{\varepsilon} \dot{q}^\varepsilon(t) + \sigma(q^\varepsilon(t)) \dot{B}(t), \\ q^\varepsilon(0) = q \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \dot{q}^\varepsilon(0) = p \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Here $B(t)$ is a d -dimensional standard Wiener process, defined on some complete stochastic basis $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$. The coefficients b, α and σ satisfy some regularity conditions (See Section 2 for details). Let $q_\varepsilon(t) := q^\varepsilon(t/\varepsilon)$, then equation (1.1) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon^2 \ddot{q}_\varepsilon(t) = b(q_\varepsilon(t)) - \alpha(q_\varepsilon(t)) \dot{q}_\varepsilon(t) + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \sigma(q_\varepsilon(t)) \dot{w}(t), \\ q_\varepsilon(0) = q \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \dot{q}_\varepsilon(0) = \frac{p}{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where $w(t) := \sqrt{\varepsilon} B(t/\varepsilon)$, $t \geq 0$, is also an $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued Wiener process.

In [3], Cerrai and Freidlin established a large deviations principle (LDP for short) for Eq. (1.2) as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. More precisely, they proved that the family $\{q_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$ satisfies the LDP in the space $C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$, with the same rate function I and the same speed function ε that describe the LDP of the first order equation

$$\dot{g}_\varepsilon(t) = \frac{b(g_\varepsilon(t))}{\alpha(g_\varepsilon(t))} + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \frac{\sigma(g_\varepsilon(t))}{\alpha(g_\varepsilon(t))} \dot{w}(t), \quad g_\varepsilon(0) = q \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (1.3)$$

Explicitly, this means that

- (1) for any constant $c > 0$, the level set $\{f : I(f) \leq c\}$ is compact in $C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$;
- (2) for any closed subset $F \subset C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \varepsilon \log \mathbb{P}(q_\varepsilon \in F) \leq - \inf_{f \in F} I(f);$$

- (3) for any open subset $G \subset C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \varepsilon \log \mathbb{P}(q_\varepsilon \in G) \geq - \inf_{f \in G} I(f).$$

The dynamics system (1.3) can be regarded as the random perturbation of the following deterministic differential equation

$$\dot{q}_0(t) = \frac{b(q_0(t))}{\alpha(q_0(t))}, \quad q_0(0) = q \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (1.4)$$

Roughly speaking, the LDP result in [3] shows that the asymptotic probability of $\mathbb{P}(\|q_\varepsilon - q_0\| \geq \delta)$ converges exponentially to 0 as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ for any $\delta > 0$. Here $\|\cdot\|$ is the sup-norm on $C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$.

Similar to large deviations, the moderate deviations arise in the theory of statistical inference quite naturally. The moderate deviations principle(MDP for short) can provide us with the rate of convergence and a useful method for constructing asymptotic confidence intervals(see, e.g., recent works [8], [10], [13] and references therein). Usually, the quadratic form of the rate function corresponding to MDP allows for the explicit minimization, and particularly it allows one to obtain an asymptotic evaluation for the exit time(see [12]). Recently, the study of the MDP estimates for stochastic (partial) differential equation has been carried out as well, see e.g. [1, 9, 14, 15] etc..

In this paper, we shall investigate the moderate deviations principle problem for the family $\{q_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$. That is, the asymptotic behavior of the trajectory

$$X_\varepsilon(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)} (q_\varepsilon(t) - q_0(t)), \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (1.5)$$

Here the deviations scale satisfies

$$h(\varepsilon) \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (1.6)$$

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we present the framework of the Langevin equation, and then state our main results. Section 3 is devoted to proving the moderate deviations principle.

2. FRAMEWORK AND MAIN RESULTS

Let $|\cdot|$ be the Euclidean norm of a vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the inner production in $\mathbb{R}^d$, and $\|\cdot\|_{\text{HS}}$ the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of a $d \times d$ matrix. For a function $b : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, $Db = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} b^i \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}$ is the Jacobian matrix of b . Throughout this paper, $C(\cdot)$ is the positive constant depending on the parameters in the bracket and independent of ε . The value of $C(\cdot)$ may be different from line to line.

Assume that the coefficients b, α and σ in (1.2) satisfy the following hypotheses.

Hypothesis 2.1. (a) *The mappings $b : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ (the space of $d \times d$ matrices) are continuously differentiable and bounded, and there exists some constant $K > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$|b(x) - b(y)| \leq K|x - y|, \quad |b(x)| \leq K, \quad \|Db\|_{\text{HS}} \leq K,$$

and

$$\|\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)\|_{\text{HS}} \leq K|x - y|, \quad \|\sigma(x)\|_{\text{HS}} \leq K.$$

Moreover, the matrix $\sigma(q)$ is invertible for any $q \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and $\sigma^{-1} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is bounded.

- (b) The mapping $\alpha : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ belongs to $C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and there exist some constants $0 < \alpha_0 \leq \alpha_1$ and $K > 0$ such that

$$\alpha_0 = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \alpha(x), \quad \alpha_1 = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \alpha(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla \alpha(x)| \leq K.$$

Notice that, according to the Lipschitz-continuity and the boundedness of the functions b, σ and $1/\alpha$, we have that b/α and σ/α are also Lipschitz continuous and bounded.

Under Hypothesis 2.1, according to Theorem 2.2 in [7], we know that the family $\{(g_\varepsilon - q_0)/[\sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)]\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ satisfies the LDP on $C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ with speed $h^2(\varepsilon)$ and a good rate function I given by

$$I(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} \inf_{h \in \mathcal{H}: \psi = \Gamma_0(h)} \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{H} := \left\{ h \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d); h(t) = \int_0^t \dot{h}(s) ds, \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 := \int_0^T |\dot{h}(t)|^2 dt < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$\Gamma_0(h(t)) = \int_0^t D \left(\frac{b(q_0(s))}{\alpha(q_0(s))} \right) \Gamma_0(h(s)) ds + \int_0^t \frac{\sigma(q_0(s))}{\alpha(q_0(s))} \dot{h}(s) ds. \quad (2.2)$$

This special kind of LDP is just the moderate deviations principle for the family $\{g_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ (See [4]).

In this paper, we shall prove that the family $\{q_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ satisfies the same moderate deviations principle with the family $\{g_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$. That is

Theorem 2.2. *Under Hypothesis 2.1, the family $\{(q_\varepsilon - q_0)/[\sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)]\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ obeys an LDP on $C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ with speed $h^2(\varepsilon)$ and the rate function I given by (2.1).*

3. PROOF OF MDP

3.1. Large deviations Principle. In this subsection, we will first give the general criteria for the large deviations principle given in [2].

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space with an increasing family $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ of the sub- σ -fields of $\mathcal{F}$ satisfying the usual conditions. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a Polish space with the Borel σ -field $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E})$. The Cameron-Martin space associated with the Wiener process $\{w(t), t \in [0, T]\}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{H} := \left\{ h : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \mid h \text{ is absolutely continuous and } \int_0^T |\dot{h}(s)|^2 ds < +\infty \right\}. \quad (3.1)$$

See [4]. The space $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} := \int_0^T \langle \dot{h}_1(s), \dot{h}_2(s) \rangle ds.$$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ -predictable processes belonging to $\mathcal{H}$ a.s.. Let

$$S_N := \left\{ h \in \mathcal{H} \mid \int_0^T |\dot{h}(s)|^2 ds \leq N \right\}.$$

The set S_N endowed with the weak topology is a Polish space. Define

$$\mathcal{A}_N := \{ \phi \in \mathcal{A} \mid \phi(\omega) \in S_N, \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \}.$$

First we present the following result from Budhiraja et al. [2].

Theorem 3.1. ([2]) For any $\varepsilon > 0$, let Γ_ε be a measurable mapping from $C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ into $\mathcal{E}$. Let $X^\varepsilon(\cdot) := \Gamma_\varepsilon(w(\cdot))$. Suppose there exists a measurable mapping $\Gamma^0 : C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ such that

(a) for every $N < +\infty$, the set

$$\left\{ \Gamma^0 \left(\int_0^\cdot \dot{h}(s) ds \right); h \in S_N \right\}$$

is a compact subset of $\mathcal{E}$;

(b) for every $N < +\infty$ and any family $\{h^\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset \mathcal{A}_N$ satisfying that h^ε (as S_N -valued random elements) converges in distribution to h as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon \left(w(\cdot) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int_0^\cdot \dot{h}^\varepsilon(s) ds \right) \text{ converges to } \Gamma^0 \left(\int_0^\cdot \dot{h}(s) ds \right)$$

in distribution as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Then the family $\{X^\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ satisfies the large deviations principle on $\mathcal{E}$ with the rate function I given by

$$I(g) := \inf_{h \in \mathcal{H}: g = \Gamma_0(\int_0^\cdot \dot{h}(s) ds)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |\dot{h}(s)|^2 ds \right\}, \quad g \in \mathcal{E}, \quad (3.2)$$

with the convention $\inf \emptyset = \infty$.

3.2. Skeleton Equations. For any $h \in \mathcal{H}$, consider the deterministic integral equation

$$g^h(t) = \int_0^t D \left(\frac{b(q_0(s))}{\alpha(q_0(s))} \right) g^h(s) ds + \int_0^t \frac{\sigma(q_0(s))}{\alpha(q_0(s))} \dot{h}(s) ds. \quad (3.3)$$

Lemma 3.2. Under Hypothesis 2.1, for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$, Eq. (3.3) admits a unique solution g^h in $C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$, denoted by $g^h(\cdot) = \Gamma_0 \left(\int_0^\cdot \dot{h}(s) ds \right)$. Moreover, for any $N > 0$, there exists some positive constant $C(K, N, T)$ such that

$$\sup_{h \in S_N} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |g^h(t)| \right\} \leq C(K, N, T). \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. The existence and uniqueness of the solution can be proved similarly as in the case of stochastic differential equation (1.3), but much simpler. (3.4) follows from the linear growth conditions of the coefficient functions and Gronwall's inequality. Here we omit the relative proof. $\square$

Proposition 3.3. Under Hypothesis 2.1, for every positive number $N < +\infty$, the family

$$K_N := \left\{ \Gamma_0 \left(\int_0^\cdot \dot{h}(s) ds \right); h \in S_N \right\}$$

is compact in $C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof. First, notice that it is sufficient to prove that the mapping Γ_0 defined in Lemma 3.2 is continuous from S_N to $C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$, since the fact that K_N is compact follows from the compactness of S_N under the weak topology and the continuity of the mapping Γ_0 from S_N to $C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$.

Assume that $h_n \rightarrow h$ weakly in S_N as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & g^{h_n}(t) - g^h(t) \\ &= \int_0^t D \left(\frac{b(q_0(s))}{\alpha(q_0(s))} \right) (g^{h_n}(s) - g^h(s)) ds + \int_0^t \frac{\sigma(q_0(s))}{\alpha(q_0(s))} (\dot{h}_n(s) - \dot{h}(s)) ds \\ &=: I_1^n(t) + I_2^n(t). \end{aligned}$$

By the boundedness of functions σ, α , and Hölder's inequality, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2^n(t_2) - I_2^n(t_1)| &= \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{\sigma(q_0(s))}{\alpha(q_0(s))} (\dot{h}_n(s) - \dot{h}(s)) ds \right| \\ &\leq \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left\| \frac{\sigma(q_0(s))}{\alpha(q_0(s))} \right\|_{HS}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} |\dot{h}_n(s) - \dot{h}(s)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C(K, \alpha_0) N^{\frac{1}{2}} |t_2 - t_1|^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Hence, the functions $\{I_2^n\}_{n \geq 1}$ are equiv-continuous in $C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$. Particularly, taking $t_1 = 0$, we obtain that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |I_2^n(t)| \leq C(K, N, T, \alpha_0) < \infty, \quad (3.6)$$

here $C(\alpha_0, K, N, T)$ is independent of n . Thus, by the Ascoli-Arzelá theorem, there exists a subsequence n_k and a function $\xi \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |I_2^{n_k}(t) - \xi(t)| = 0.$$

On the other hand, for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$, by the boundedness of σ/α , we know that the function $\frac{\sigma(q_0)}{\alpha(q_0)}v$ belongs to $L^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$. Since $\dot{h}_n \rightarrow \dot{h}$ weakly in $L^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$, we know that

$$\langle I_2^n(t), v \rangle = \int_0^t \frac{\sigma(q_0(s))}{\alpha(q_0(s))} (\dot{h}_n(s) - \dot{h}(s)) v ds \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.7)$$

So we can conclude that $\xi \equiv 0$ on $[0, T]$, that is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |I_2^n(t)| = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Set $\zeta^n(t) = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |g_s^{h_n} - g_s^h|$. By Hypothesis 2.1, we have

$$\zeta^n(t) \leq C(\alpha_0, K) \int_0^t \zeta^n(s) ds + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |I_2^n(t)|.$$

Hence, by the Gronwall's lemma and (3.8), we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |g^{h_n}(t) - g^h(t)| \leq e^{C(\alpha_0, K)T} \cdot \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |I_2^n(t)| \longrightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

The proof is complete. $\square$

3.3. Moderate deviations Principle. Due to the Lipschitz continuity and boundedness of the functions b, σ and α^{-1} , Eq. (1.2) admits a strong solution q_ε . According to the proof of Theorem 3.3 in [3], we know that the solution q_ε of Eq. (1.2) can be expressed in the following form :

$$q_\varepsilon(t) = q + \int_0^t \frac{b(q_\varepsilon(s))}{\alpha(q_\varepsilon(s))} ds + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \frac{\sigma(q_\varepsilon(s))}{\alpha(q_\varepsilon(s))} dw(s) + R_\varepsilon(t),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_\varepsilon(t) &:= \frac{p}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t e^{-A_\varepsilon(s)} ds - \frac{1}{\alpha(q_\varepsilon(t))} \int_0^t e^{-A_\varepsilon(t,s)} b(q_\varepsilon(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \left(\int_0^s e^{-A_\varepsilon(s,r)} b(q_\varepsilon(r)) dr \right) \frac{1}{\alpha^2(q_\varepsilon(s))} \langle \nabla \alpha(q_\varepsilon(s)), \dot{q}_\varepsilon(s) \rangle ds \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\alpha(q_\varepsilon(t))} H_\varepsilon(t) + \int_0^t \frac{1}{\alpha^2(q_\varepsilon(s))} H_\varepsilon(s) \langle \nabla \alpha(q_\varepsilon(s)), \dot{q}_\varepsilon(s) \rangle ds \\ &=: \sum_{k=1}^5 I_\varepsilon^k(t), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} A_\varepsilon(t, s) &:= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_s^t \alpha(q_\varepsilon(r)) dr, \quad A_\varepsilon(t) := A_\varepsilon(t, 0), \\ H_\varepsilon(t) &:= \sqrt{\varepsilon} e^{-A_\varepsilon(t)} \int_0^t e^{A_\varepsilon(s)} \sigma(q_\varepsilon(s)) dw(s). \end{aligned}$$

We denote the solution functional form from $C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ into $C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ by $\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon(w) := q_\varepsilon. \quad (3.9)$$

Let

$$X_\varepsilon := \Gamma_\varepsilon(w) := \frac{\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon(w) - q_0(t)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon} h(\varepsilon)}. \quad (3.10)$$

Then X_ε satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} X_\varepsilon(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)} \int_0^t \left[\frac{b(q_0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)X_\varepsilon(s))}{\alpha(q_0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)X_\varepsilon(s))} - \frac{b(q_0(s))}{\alpha(q_0(s))} \right] ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{h(\varepsilon)} \int_0^t \frac{\sigma(q_0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)X_\varepsilon(s))}{\alpha(q_0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)X_\varepsilon(s))} dw(s) + \frac{R_\varepsilon(t)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

For any predictable process u taking values in $L^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$, we denote by $q_\varepsilon^u(t)$ the solution of the following equation

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon^2 \ddot{q}_\varepsilon^u(t) = b(q_\varepsilon^u(t)) - \alpha(q_\varepsilon^u(t)) \dot{q}_\varepsilon^u(t) + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \sigma(q_\varepsilon^u(t)) \dot{w}(t) + \sqrt{\varepsilon} h(\varepsilon) \sigma(q_\varepsilon^u(t)) \dot{u}(t), \\ q_\varepsilon^u(0) = q \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \dot{q}_\varepsilon^u(0) = \frac{p}{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

As is well known, for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, $T > 0$ and $k \geq 1$, this equation admits a unique solution q_ε^u in $L^k(\Omega; C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d))$,

$$q_\varepsilon^u(t) = \mathcal{G}_\varepsilon \left(w(t) + h(\varepsilon) \int_0^t \dot{u}(s) ds \right).$$

The following lemma is a direct consequence of Girsanov's theorem and Itô's formula. We omit the proof.

Lemma 3.4. For every fixed $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $u^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}_N$ and Γ_ε be given by (3.10). Then $X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(\cdot) := \Gamma_\varepsilon(w(\cdot) + h(\varepsilon) \int_0^\cdot \dot{u}^\varepsilon(s) ds)$ solves the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(t) &= \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)} \left[\frac{b(q_0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s))}{\alpha(q_0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s))} - \frac{b(q_0(s))}{\alpha(q_0(s))} \right] ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \frac{\sigma(q_0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s))}{\alpha(q_0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s))} \dot{u}^\varepsilon(s) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{h(\varepsilon)} \int_0^t \frac{\sigma(q_0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s))}{\alpha(q_0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s))} dw(s) + \frac{R_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(t)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(t) &= \frac{p}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t e^{-A_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s)} ds - \frac{1}{\alpha(q_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(t))} \int_0^t e^{-A_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(t,s)} b(q_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \left(\int_0^s e^{-A_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s,r)} b(q_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(r)) dr \right) \frac{1}{\alpha^2(q_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s))} \langle \nabla \alpha(q_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s)), \dot{q}_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s) \rangle ds \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\alpha(q_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(t))} H_\varepsilon^{1,u^\varepsilon}(t) + \int_0^t \frac{1}{\alpha^2(q_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s))} H_\varepsilon^{1,u^\varepsilon}(s) \langle \nabla \alpha(q_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s)), \dot{q}_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s) \rangle ds \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\alpha(q_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(t))} H_\varepsilon^{2,u^\varepsilon}(t) + \int_0^t \frac{1}{\alpha^2(q_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s))} H_\varepsilon^{2,u^\varepsilon}(s) \langle \nabla \alpha(q_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s)), \dot{q}_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s) \rangle ds \\ &=: \sum_{k=1}^7 I_\varepsilon^{k,u^\varepsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} A_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(t, s) &:= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_s^t \alpha(q_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(r)) dr, \quad A_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(t) = A_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(t, 0), \\ H_\varepsilon^{1, u^\varepsilon}(t) &:= \sqrt{\varepsilon} e^{-A_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(t)} \int_0^t e^{A_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s)} \sigma(q_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s)) dw(s), \\ H_\varepsilon^{2, u^\varepsilon}(t) &:= \sqrt{\varepsilon} h(\varepsilon) e^{-A_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(t)} \int_0^t e^{A_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s)} \sigma(q_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s)) \dot{u}^\varepsilon(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, there exists a constant $C(K, N, T, \alpha_0)$ independent of ε such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T |X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(t)|^2 dt \right] \leq C(K, N, T, \alpha_0). \quad (3.13)$$

According to [3, Lemma 3.1], under Hypothesis 2.1 for any $T > 0$, $k \geq 1$ and $N > 0$, there exists some constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $u^\varepsilon \in S_T^N$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, we have

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} [|H_\varepsilon^{1, u^\varepsilon}(t)|^k] \leq C(k, N, T) (|q|^k + |p|^k + 1) \varepsilon^{\frac{3k}{2}} + C(k) \varepsilon^{\frac{k}{2}} t^{\frac{k}{2}} e^{-\frac{k\alpha_0 t}{\varepsilon^2}}. \quad (3.14)$$

Moreover, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |H_\varepsilon^{1, u^\varepsilon}(t)| \right] \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon} C(N, T) (1 + |q| + |p|).$$

For $H_\varepsilon^{2, u^\varepsilon}(t)$, we have the following estimation.

Lemma 3.5. *Under Hypothesis 2.1, for any $T > 0$, $k \geq 1$ and $N > 0$, there exists some constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $u^\varepsilon \in S_T^N$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |H_\varepsilon^{2, u^\varepsilon}(t)|^k \right] \leq C(K, N, \alpha_0) \varepsilon^{\frac{3k}{2}} h^k(\varepsilon). \quad (3.15)$$

Proof. For any $t \in [0, T]$ and $u \in S_T^N$, by the boundedness of σ and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H_\varepsilon^{2, u^\varepsilon}(t)| &= \left| \sqrt{\varepsilon} h(\varepsilon) e^{-A_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(t)} \int_0^t e^{A_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s)} \sigma(q_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s)) \dot{u}^\varepsilon(s) ds \right| \\ &\leq K \sqrt{\varepsilon} h(\varepsilon) e^{-A_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(t)} \int_0^t e^{A_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s)} |\dot{u}^\varepsilon(s)| ds \\ &\leq K \sqrt{\varepsilon} h(\varepsilon) e^{-A_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(t)} \left(\int_0^t e^{2A_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s)} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^T |\dot{u}^\varepsilon(s)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq K N^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\varepsilon} h(\varepsilon) e^{-A_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(t)} \left(\int_0^t e^{2A_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s)} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $A_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(t) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_0^t \alpha(q_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(r)) dr$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t e^{2A_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s)} ds &= \int_0^t \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2\alpha(q_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s))} de^{\frac{2}{\varepsilon^2} \int_0^s \alpha(q_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(r)) dr} \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2\alpha_0} \int_0^t de^{\frac{2}{\varepsilon^2} \int_0^s \alpha(q_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(r)) dr} \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2\alpha_0} \left(e^{2A_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(t)} - 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |H_\varepsilon^{2,u^\varepsilon}(t)| &\leq KN^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} h(\varepsilon)}{\sqrt{2\alpha_0}} e^{-A_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(t)} \left(e^{2A_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(t)} - 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C(K, N, \alpha_0) \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} h(\varepsilon) e^{-A_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(t)} e^{A_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(t)} \\ &= C(K, N, \alpha_0) \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} h(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |H_\varepsilon^{2,u^\varepsilon}(t)|^k \right] \leq C(K, N, \alpha_0) \varepsilon^{\frac{3k}{2}} h^k(\varepsilon).$$

The proof is complete. $\square$

Proposition 3.6. *Under Hypothesis 2.1, for every fixed $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $u^\varepsilon, u \in \mathcal{A}_T^N$ such that $u^\varepsilon \rightarrow u$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in distribution, then*

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon \left(w(\cdot) + h(\varepsilon) \int_0^\cdot \dot{u}^\varepsilon(s) ds \right) \longrightarrow \Gamma_0 \left(\int_0^\cdot \dot{u}(s) ds \right),$$

in distribution in $C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. By the Skorokhod representation theorem, there exist a probability basis $(\bar{\Omega}, \bar{\mathcal{F}}, (\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t), \bar{\mathbb{P}})$, and on this basis, a Brownian motion $\bar{w}$ and a family of $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t$ -predictable processes $\{\bar{u}^\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}, \bar{u}$ taking values in $\mathcal{A}_N$, $\bar{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s., such that the joint law of (u^ε, u, w) under $\mathbb{P}$ coincides with that of $(\bar{u}^\varepsilon, \bar{u}, \bar{w})$ under $\bar{\mathbb{P}}$ and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \langle \bar{u}^\varepsilon - \bar{u}, g \rangle = 0, \quad \forall g \in \mathcal{H}, \bar{\mathbb{P}} - a.s..$$

Let $\bar{X}_\varepsilon^{\bar{u}^\varepsilon}$ be the solution of a similar equation as (3.12) with u^ε replaced by $\bar{u}^\varepsilon$ and w by $\bar{w}$, and let $\bar{X}^{\bar{u}}$ be the solution to a similar equation as (3.3) with h replaced by $\bar{u}$. Thus, to prove this proposition, it is sufficient to prove that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\bar{X}_\varepsilon^{\bar{u}^\varepsilon} - \bar{X}^{\bar{u}}\| = 0 \text{ in probability.} \quad (3.16)$$

From now on, we drop the bars in the notation for the sake of simplicity.

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
& X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(t) - X^u(t) \\
&= \int_0^t \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)} \left[\frac{b(q_0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s))}{\alpha(q_0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s))} - \frac{b(q_0(s))}{\alpha(q_0(s))} \right] - D\left(\frac{b(q_0(s))}{\alpha(q_0(s))}\right) X^u(s) \right\} ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \left[\frac{\sigma(q_0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s))}{\alpha(q_0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s))} \dot{u}^\varepsilon(s) - \frac{\sigma(q_0(s))}{\alpha(q_0(s))} \dot{u}(s) \right] ds \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{h(\varepsilon)} \int_0^t \frac{\sigma(q_0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s))}{\alpha(q_0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s))} dw(s) + \frac{R_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(t)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)} \\
&=: \sum_{k=1}^4 Y_\varepsilon^{k,u^\varepsilon}(t).
\end{aligned}$$

We shall prove this proposition in the following four steps.

Step 1: For the first term $Y_\varepsilon^{1,u^\varepsilon}$, denote $x_\varepsilon(t) := \sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(t)$, by Taylor's formula, there exists a random variable η_ε taking values in $(0, 1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |Y_\varepsilon^{1,u^\varepsilon}(t)| \\
&= \left| \int_0^t \left[D\left(\frac{b(q_0(s) + \eta_\varepsilon(s)x_\varepsilon(s))}{\alpha(q_0(s) + \eta_\varepsilon(s)x_\varepsilon(s))}\right) X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s) - D\left(\frac{b(q_0(s))}{\alpha(q_0(s))}\right) X^u(s) \right] ds \right| \\
&\leq \left| \int_0^t D\left(\frac{b(q_0(s) + \eta_\varepsilon(s)x_\varepsilon(s))}{\alpha(q_0(s) + \eta_\varepsilon(s)x_\varepsilon(s))}\right) \cdot (X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s) - X^u(s)) ds \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \int_0^t \left[D\left(\frac{b(q_0(s) + \eta_\varepsilon(s)x_\varepsilon(s))}{\alpha(q_0(s) + \eta_\varepsilon(s)x_\varepsilon(s))}\right) - D\left(\frac{b(q_0(s))}{\alpha(q_0(s))}\right) \right] \cdot X^u(s) ds \right| \\
&=: y_\varepsilon^{11}(t) + y_\varepsilon^{12}(t).
\end{aligned}$$

For the first term y_ε^{11} , by the boundedness of $D(\frac{b}{\alpha})$, we have

$$y_\varepsilon^{11}(t) \leq C(\alpha_0, K) \int_0^t |X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s) - X^u(s)| ds. \quad (3.17)$$

For each $R > \|q_0\|$ and $\rho \in (0, 1)$, set

$$\eta_{R,\rho} := \sup_{|x| \leq R, |y| \leq R, |x-y| \leq \rho} \left| D\left(\frac{b}{\alpha}\right)(x) - D\left(\frac{b}{\alpha}\right)(y) \right|.$$

Then by the continuous differentiability of $\frac{b}{\alpha}$, we know that for any fixed $R > 0$,

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \eta_{R,\rho} = 0.$$

Since $\sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, there exists some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ small enough such that for all $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, we have

$$\sup_{\|q_0\| \leq R, \sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)\|X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}\| \leq \rho} \left\| \left(D\left(\frac{b}{\alpha}\right)(q_0 + \eta_\varepsilon \sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}) - D\left(\frac{b}{\alpha}\right)(q_0) \right) X^u \right\| \leq \eta_{R+1,\rho} \|X^u\|$$

for any $\rho \in (0, 1)$.

Thus, for the second term $y_\varepsilon^{1,2}$, we obtain that for any $r > 0, R > \|q_0\|$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(\|y_\varepsilon^{1,2}\| > r) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)\|X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}\| > \rho) + \mathbb{P}(\eta_{R+1,\rho}\|X^u\| > r) \\ & \leq \frac{\varepsilon h^2(\varepsilon)}{\rho^2} \mathbb{E}[\|X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}\|^2] + \frac{\eta_{R+1,\rho}^2}{r^2} \mathbb{E}[\|X^u\|^2]. \end{aligned}$$

First letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and then letting $\rho \rightarrow 0$, we can prove that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}(\|y_\varepsilon^{1,2}\| > r) = 0, \quad \text{for any } r > 0. \quad (3.18)$$

Step 2: For the second term $Y_\varepsilon^{2,u^\varepsilon}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |Y_\varepsilon^{2,u^\varepsilon}(t)| \\ & \leq \left| \int_0^t \frac{\sigma(q_0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s))}{\alpha(q_0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s))} (\dot{u}^\varepsilon(s) - \dot{u}(s)) ds \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \int_0^t \left[\frac{\sigma(q_0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s))}{\alpha(q_0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s))} - \frac{\sigma(q_0(s))}{\alpha(q_0(s))} \right] \dot{u}(s) ds \right| \\ & =: |Y_\varepsilon^{2,u^\varepsilon,1}(t)| + |Y_\varepsilon^{2,u^\varepsilon,2}(t)|. \end{aligned}$$

Using the same argument as in the proof of (3.8), we obtain that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|Y_\varepsilon^{2,u^\varepsilon,1}\| = 0, \quad \text{a.s..}$$

This, together with the dominated convergence theorem, implies that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[\|Y_\varepsilon^{2,u^\varepsilon,1}\|] = 0.$$

Due to the Lipschitz continuity and the boundedness of σ/α we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |Y_\varepsilon^{2,u^\varepsilon,2}(s)| \\ & \leq C(K, \alpha_0, \alpha_1) \left(\int_0^t (\sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)|X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s)|) \cdot |\dot{u}(s)| ds \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

By (3.13) and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^t |X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}| \cdot |\dot{u}(s)| ds \right] \leq C(K, N, T, \alpha_0).$$

Hence we obtain that

$$\mathbb{E}[\|Y_\varepsilon^{2,u^\varepsilon}\|] \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.20)$$

Step 3: For the third term $Y_\varepsilon^{3,u^\varepsilon}$, by BDG inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_\varepsilon^{3,u^\varepsilon}(t)| \right] &= \frac{1}{h(\varepsilon)} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \int_0^t \frac{\sigma(q_0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s))}{\alpha(q_0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s))} dw(s) \right| \right] \\ &\leq \frac{C}{h(\varepsilon)} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \left\| \frac{(\sigma * \sigma^T)(q_0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s))}{\alpha^2(q_0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s))} \right\|_{HS} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{C(T, K, \alpha_0)}{h(\varepsilon)} \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Step 4: For the last term $Y_\varepsilon^{4,u^\varepsilon}$, similarly as the proof of (3.21) and (3.22) in [3], we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \frac{\sum_{k=1}^5 I_\varepsilon^{k,u^\varepsilon}(t)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)} \right| \right] \leq \frac{1}{h(\varepsilon)} C(K, N, T, \alpha_0) \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.22)$$

Next, we will estimate $\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \frac{I_\varepsilon^{6,u^\varepsilon}(t)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)} \right| \right]$ and $\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \frac{I_\varepsilon^{7,u^\varepsilon}(t)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)} \right| \right]$. By Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \frac{I_\varepsilon^{6,u^\varepsilon}(t)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)} \right| \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)\alpha_0} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |H_\varepsilon^{2,u^\varepsilon}(t)| \right] \\ &\leq \varepsilon C(K, N, \alpha_0) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \frac{I_\varepsilon^{7,u^\varepsilon}(t)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)} \right| \right] &\leq \frac{C(\alpha_0, K)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^t |H_\varepsilon^{2,u^\varepsilon}(s)| \cdot |\dot{q}_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s)| ds \right] \\ &\leq \frac{C(\alpha_0, K)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}h(\varepsilon)} \left[\int_0^T \mathbb{E} [|H_\varepsilon^{2,u^\varepsilon}(s)|^2] ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left[\int_0^T \mathbb{E} [|\dot{q}_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s)|^2] ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.11), we notice that

$$\dot{q}_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(t) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} e^{-A_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(t)} p + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_0^t e^{-A_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(t,s)} b(q_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s)) ds + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} H_\varepsilon^{2,u^\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} H_\varepsilon^{1,u^\varepsilon}.$$

By (1.6), similarly to the proof of [3, lemma 3.1], we have for all ε small enough,

$$\int_0^T |\dot{q}_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s)|^2 ds \leq C(N, T) + \frac{C(T)}{\varepsilon^4} \int_0^T |H_\varepsilon^{1,u^\varepsilon}(s)|^2 ds.$$

Hence, by (3.14) and Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \frac{I_\varepsilon^{7, u^\varepsilon}(t)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon} h(\varepsilon)} \right| \right] \\
& \leq \frac{C(K, N, T, \alpha_0)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon} h(\varepsilon)} \left[\left(\int_0^T \mathbb{E} [|H_\varepsilon^{2, u^\varepsilon}(s)|^2] ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\
& \quad + \frac{C(K, N, T, \alpha_0)}{\varepsilon^{\frac{5}{2}} h(\varepsilon)} \left(\int_0^T \mathbb{E} [|H_\varepsilon^{2, u^\varepsilon}(s)|^2] ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(\int_0^T \mathbb{E} [|H_\varepsilon^{1, u^\varepsilon}(s)|^2] ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon} C(K, N, T, \alpha_0) \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

By (3.22), (3.23) and (3.24), we obtain that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_\varepsilon^{4, u^\varepsilon}(t)| \right] \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \tag{3.25}$$

Combine with (3.17)-(3.25), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(s) - X^u(s)| \\
& \leq C \left(\int_0^t \sup_{0 \leq v \leq s} |X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon}(v) - X^u(v)| ds + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} y_\varepsilon^{12}(t) \right) \\
& \quad + C \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |Y_\varepsilon^{2, u^\varepsilon}(t)| + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |Y_\varepsilon^{3, u^\varepsilon}(t)| + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |Y_\varepsilon^{4, u^\varepsilon}(t)| \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

where C is independent of ε . Using Gronwall's inequalities, we have that

$$\|X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon} - X^u\| \leq C \left(\|y_\varepsilon^{12}\| + \sum_{l=2,3,4} \|Y_\varepsilon^{l, u^\varepsilon}\| \right).$$

This, together with (3.18), (3.20), (3.21) and (3.25), implies that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|X_\varepsilon^{u^\varepsilon} - X^u\| = 0, \quad \text{in probability,}$$

which completes the proof. $\square$

Acknowledgements: Liu W. is supported by Natural Science Foundation of China (11571262).

REFERENCES

- [1] Budhiraja, A., Dupuis P., Ganguly A. : Moderate deviations principles for stochastic differential equations with jumps. Ann. Probab. **44**, 1723-1775 (2016).
- [2] Budhiraja, A., Dupuis, P., Maroulas, V. : Large deviations for infinite dimensional stochastic dynamical systems. Ann. Probab. **36**, 1390-1420 (2008).
- [3] Cerrai, S., Freidlin, M. : Mark Large deviations for the Langevin equation with strong damping. J. Stat. Phys. 161 (2015), no. 4, 859-875.
- [4] Dembo, A., Zeitouni, O. : Large deviations techniques and applications. Second edition. Applications of Mathematics **38**, Springer-Verlag (1998)

- [5] Dupuis, P., Ellis, R. : A weak convergence approach to the theory of large deviations. Wiley, New York (1997)
- [6] Freidlin, M.I., Wentzell, A.D. : Random perturbation of dynamical systems. Translated by Szuc, J., Springer, Berlin (1984)
- [7] Gao, F.Q., Wang, S. : Asymptotic behaviors for functionals of random dynamical systems. Stoch. Anal. Appl. **34**(2), 258-277 (2016)
- [8] Gao, F.Q., Zhao, X.Q. : Delta method in large deviations and moderate deviations for estimators. Ann. Statist. **39**, 1211-1240 (2011)
- [9] Guillin, A., Liptser, R. : Examples of moderate deviations principle for diffusion processes. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B **6**, 803-828 (2006)
- [10] Hall P., Schimek M. : Moderate-deviations-based inference for random degeneration in paired rank lists, J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. **107**, 661-672 (2012).
- [11] Kallenberg, W. : On moderate deviations theory in estimation. Ann. Statist. **11**, 498-504 (1983)
- [12] Klebaner F., Liptser R. : Moderate deviations for randomly perturbed dynamical systems, Stochastic process. Appl. **80**, 157-176 (1999).
- [13] Miao Y., Shen S. : Moderate deviations principle for autoregressive processes. J. Multivariate Anal. **100**, 1952-1961 (2009).
- [14] Wang, R., Zhai, J., Zhang, T. : A moderate deviations principle for 2-D stochastic Navier-Stokes equations. J. Differential Equations **258**, 3363-3390 (2015)
- [15] Wang, R., Zhang, T. : Moderate deviations for stochastic reaction-diffusion equations with multiplicative noise. Potential Anal., **42**, 99-113 (2015)

LINGYAN CHENG, ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEMS SCIENCES, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING, 100190, PR CHINA

E-mail address: chengly@amss.ac.cn

RUINAN LI, ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEMS SCIENCES, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING, 100190, PR CHINA

E-mail address: ruinanli@amss.ac.cn

WEI LIU, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, WUHAN UNIVERSITY, WUHAN, HUBEI 430072, PR CHINA; COMPUTATIONAL SCIENCE HUBEI KEY LABORATORY, WUHAN UNIVERSITY, WUHAN, HUBEI 430072, PR CHINA

E-mail address: wliu.math@whu.edu.cn